

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/972,929	10/10/2001	Richard C. Rose	109039	4843
7590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER		
S . H .DWORETSKY			WOZNIAK, JAMES S	
AT&T CORP			L DELL'AUTE I	B 1 DED 1811 (DED
ROOM 2A-207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE ATT&T WAY			2655	
BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	, ' 09/972,929	ROSE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James S. Wozniak	2655
The MAILING DATE of this commo	unication appears on the cover sheet v	l I
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this column of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a mmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ply will, by statute, cause the application to become A is after the mailing date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>12 July 2005</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,19 and 21-24</u> is/a	are pending in the application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is.	,,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and ward and morn definition.	
6) Claim(s) 1-14,16,19 and 21-24 is/a	are rejected	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	the Everiner	·
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October		abjected to by the Eventines
	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	
11) The oath or declaration is objected		g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	to by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Noné of:		
	y documents have been received.	
Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been received in a	Application No
Copies of the certified copies	s of the priority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage
application from the Internat	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the certified copies no	t received.
	•	
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \) Notice of 6) \(\bigcap \) Other: \(\bigcap \)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050804

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to the office action from 4/12/2005, the applicant has submitted a request for continued examination, filed 7/12/2005, amending claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-14, and 16, while canceling claims 15, 17-18, and 20, adding claims 21-24, and arguing to traverse the art rejection based on the limitation regarding a modifiable periodic background noise sampling time (Amendment, Pages 8-9). The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are moot with respect to the new grounds of rejection in view of Kosanovic (U.S. Patent: 6,157,670) and Sejnoha (U.S. Patent: 5,008,941).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 9, 13-14, 16, 19, 21-22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gong (U.S. Patent: 6,418,411) in view of Kosanovic (U.S. Patent: 6,157,670).

Art Unit: 2655

With respect to Claims 1, 5, 9, and 13, Gong discloses:

Determining parameters of a background model of a received voice request (on-line noise compensation, Fig. 1, Elements 19-20; determining background noise parameters, Col. 2, Lines 35-47);

Determining parameters of a transducer model (one time adaptation, Fig. 1, Element 12; and calculating microphone (transducer) characteristics, Col. 1, Lines 59-62);

Determining an adapted speech recognition model for a speech recognition model based on at least one of the background model and the transducer model (producing an adapted model based on the inputs from the on-line noise estimation and the one-time adaptation (transducer adaptation), Fig. 1, Element 20 and Col. 2, Lines 44-50).

Determining information in the voice request based on the adapted speech recognition model (steps 4 and 5, Col. 2, Lines 58-61);

Although Gong teaches the means for determining background noise model parameters, Gong does not teach that background noise is determined at a periodic time that can be adjusted based at least in part on determined changes in sampled noise information, however Kosanovic discloses an adjustable background noise update period based on a noise signal energy (Col. 3, Lines 40-65).

Gong and Kosanovic are analogous art because they are from a similar field of endeavor in speech signal processing utilizing background noise estimation. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the teachings of Gong with the adjustable background noise update period taught by Kosanovic in order to

Art Unit: 2655

provide a means for obtaining background noise data according to a desired accuracy (Kosanovic, Col. 3, Lines 52-65).

With respect to Claim 14, Gong recites:

Determining user specific parameters of a background model for a received voice request (on-line noise compensation, Fig. 1, Elements 19-20; determining background noise parameters, Col. 2, Lines 35-47; and speaker-adapted models, Fig. 1, Element 12).

Determining parameters of a background model of a received voice request (on-line noise compensation, Fig. 1, Elements 19-20; determining background noise parameters, Col. 2, Lines 35-47);

Determining parameters of a transducer model (one time adaptation, Fig. 1, Element 12; and calculating microphone (transducer) characteristics, Col. 1, Lines 59-62);

Determining an adapted speech recognition model for a speech recognition model based on at least one of the background model and the transducer model (producing an adapted model based on the inputs from the on-line noise estimation and the one-time adaptation (transducer adaptation), Fig. 1, Element 20 and Col. 2, Lines 44-50).

Determining information in the voice request based on the adapted speech recognition model (steps 4 and 5, Col. 2, Lines 58-61);

Although Gong teaches the means for determining user specific background noise model parameters, Gong does not teach that background noise is determined at a periodic time that can be adjusted based at least in part on determined changes in sampled noise information, however Kosanovic discloses an adjustable background noise update period based on a noise signal energy (Col. 3, Lines 40-65).

Art Unit: 2655

Gong and Kosanovic are analogous art because they are from a similar field of endeavor in speech signal processing utilizing background noise estimation. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the teachings of Gong with the adjustable background noise update period taught by Kosanovic in order to provide a means for obtaining background noise data according to a desired accuracy (Kosanovic, Col. 3, Lines 52-65).

With respect to Claim 16, Gong recites;

Sampling periods of speech inactivity while receiving the voice request (speech pauses, Col. 5, Lines 29-32).

With respect to Claim 19, Kosanovic recites:

Constantly determining the parameters of the background model while receiving the voice request (Col. 1, Lines 60-63).

With respect to Claims 21 and 24, Kosanovic discloses:

Dynamically determining the periodic time based, at least in part, on a magnitude of determined changes in the sampled noise information (Col. 3, Lines 40-65).

With respect to Claim 22, Kosanovic recites:

Increas9ing the periodic time when successive changes in sampled noise information does not exceed a threshold value (Col. 4, Lines 20-26).

4. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gong in view of Kosanovic, and further in view of Sejnoha (U.S. Patent: 5,008,941).

Art Unit: 2655

With respect to Claims 2, 6, and 10, Gong in view of Kosanovic teaches the speech recognition system utilizing background noise and transducer models, as applied to Claims 1, 5, and 9. Gong in view of Kosanovic does not specifically suggest that a transducer model is updated periodically, however Sejnoha teaches such a periodic transducer model update (Col. 3, Lines 5-67; Col. 6, Line 41- Col.7, Line 17).

Gong, Kosanovic, and Sejnoha are analogous art because they are from a similar field of endeavor in speech signal processing utilizing noise estimation. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the teachings of Gong with the means for periodically updating a transducer model as taught by Sejnoha in order to implement more accurate speech recognition by tracking and compensating for time variant parameters that can degrade recognition performance (Sejnoha, Col. 3, Lines 5-17).

With respect to Claims 3, 7, and 11, Gong additionally discloses:

The parameters of the background model are determined based on a first sample period (sample period for background noise estimation, Fig. 2, Col. 5, Lines 29-32).

The parameters of the transducer model are determined based on a second sample period (sample for a transducer model during a one time adaptation, which takes place before on-line adaptation and thus, inherently requires a second, distinct sampling period, Col. 5, Lines 23-28).

With respect to Claims 4, 8, and 12, Gong additionally discloses:

Saving at least one of the parameters of the background model and the parameters of the transducer model (background noise is recorded and estimated, Col. 2, Liens 43-44);

Determining the adapted speech recognition model based on the at least one sample period and at least one of the background model and the transducer model (after noise sampling,

Art Unit: 2655

the system then proceeds to produce an adapted model based on the inputs from on-line noise compensation, Fig. 1, Element 19; and one-time adaptation (transducer adaptation), Fig. 1, Element 20; and Col. 2, Lines 44-50).

With respect to Claim 23, Sejnoha teaches the means for periodically determining transducer data as applied to Claims 2, 6, and 10.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

DeJaco et al (U.S. Patent: 5,915,235)- teaches a means for sampling a transfer function of an input transducer.

Diethorn (U.S. Patent: 6,035,048)- teaches a means for adding delay to a periodic background noise update.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Wozniak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7632. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5:00, F, 7:30-4, Off Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2655

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James S. Wozniak 8/10/2005

W. R. YOUNG RIMARY EXAMINE